Consistent description of quantum criticality of correlated electrons

václav Janíš

Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Praha

NCSU, Raleigh, September 6, 2017

Collaborators: Anía Kauch & Vlado Pokorný



>rive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

Outline

- 1 Introduction thermodynamic phases (structural & magnetic)
- 2 Critical behavior: Thermodynamic approach
 - Classical criticality Ising model
 - Quantum criticality of correlated electrons
 - Hubbard model
 - Thermodynamic consistency: Macroscopic conservation laws vs. microscopic dynamics
- 3 Towards consistent quantum criticality: Two-particle approach
 - Taming critical fluctuations Linearized Ward identity
 - Example: Kondo behavior in single-impurity Anderson model quantum criticality in spectral § thermodynamic functions





ve/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoF2

くロ とく得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

Equilibrium phases and phase transitions

Phases of matter – differ in physical properties (shape § volume)



Phase transitions

contínuous or díscontínuous
 changes of parameters





rive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZU.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZU

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Structural phase diagram

Structural phases - discontinuous transitions



Response function diverges at the critical function



Magnetic properties of crystals

Regular lattice with a fixed structure



Spin properties





prive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.u

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

Magnetic phase diagram

Magnetic phases - continuous (critical) transitions





vive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZU.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZU

Microscopic models for critical transitions

Model of interacting spins (Ising)

$$H[J, S, h] = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j - \underbrace{h \sum_{i} S_i}_{H_{ext}[S, h]}$$

Classical spins with $S_i = \pm 1$ ($\hbar/2$ units) Paramagnetic phase (high temperature)

Ferromagnetic phase (low temperature)





PM- FM – Crítical phase transition for h = 0



Mean-field thermodynamics – self-consistent theory

■ Full thermodynamic information in (Gibbs) free energy $-\beta F(T, h) = \ln \operatorname{Tr}_{S} \exp \{-\beta H[J, S, h]\}$

 $eta=1/k_B T$ and global magnetization

$$m(T,h) = -\frac{\partial f(T,h)}{\partial h} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sum_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{S} S_{i} e^{-\beta H[J,S,h]}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{S} e^{-\beta H[J,S,h]}}$$

Mean-field (Weiss) solution – long-range spin exchange Legendre transform – Helmoholtz free energy in m

$$f(T,m) = \frac{1}{N}F(T,h) - mh = \frac{Jm^2}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\ln 2\cosh\left(\beta Jm\right)$$

Equilibrium state - magnetization minimizing f(T, m)

$$\frac{\partial f(T,m)}{\partial m} = 0 = J[m - \tanh(\beta Jm)]$$



3

Outline Introduction Criticality 2P approach Conclusions

Critical point g symmetry breaking (h = 0)

- Critical point $\beta J = 1$ separates two phases
 - Paramagnetic: m = 0
 - Ferromagnetic: $1 \ge m^2 > 0$
- Magnetic susceptibility divergent at the critical point:

$$\chi = -\frac{\partial^2 f(T,h)}{\partial h^2}\Big|_{h=0} = \frac{\beta \left(1 - \tanh(\beta Jm)^2\right)}{1 - \beta J(1 - \tanh(\beta Jm)^2)} \propto \left[\frac{\partial^2 f(T,m)}{\partial m^2}\right]^{-1} \ge 0$$

- Spin-reflection symmetry H[J, S] = H[J, -S]broken in the ordered (ferromagnetic) phase
- Magnetic energy H_{ext}[S, h] = -h∑_iS_i lifts degeneracy
 oritical point circumvented

Susceptibility below T_c becomes negative in the paramagnetic state m=0



Outline Introduction Criticality 2P approach Conclusions

Critical point g symmetry breaking (h = 0)

- Critical point $\beta J = 1$ separates two phases
 - Paramagnetic: m = 0
 - Ferromagnetic: $1 \ge m^2 > 0$
- Magnetic susceptibility divergent at the critical point:

$$\chi = -\frac{\partial^2 f(T,h)}{\partial h^2}\Big|_{h=0} = \frac{\beta \left(1 - \tanh(\beta Jm)^2\right)}{1 - \beta J(1 - \tanh(\beta Jm)^2)} \propto \left[\frac{\partial^2 f(T,m)}{\partial m^2}\right]^{-1} \ge 0$$

- Spin-reflection symmetry H[J, S] = H[J, -S]broken in the ordered (ferromagnetic) phase
- Magnetic energy $H_{ext}[S, h] = -h \sum_{i} S_i$ lifts degeneracy – critical point circumvented

Susceptibility below T_c becomes negative in the paramagnetic state m = 0



・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

(日)

Thermodynamic consistency

Thermodynamic consistency at the critical point:

 $m(T) = \lim_{h\to 0} m(T,h)$



Classical order parameter m has no internal dynamics: Universality - critical & noncritical functions separated



Thermodynamic consistency

Thermodynamic consistency at the critical point:

 $m(T) = \lim_{h\to 0} m(T,h)$



Divergence in the response function must enforce the emergence of an order to continue the solution beyond the critical point.



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > <

Thermodynamic consistency

Thermodynamic consistency at the critical point:

 $m(T) = \lim_{h\to 0} m(T,h)$



Classical order parameter *m* has no internal dynamics: universality - critical § noncritical functions separated.



Quantum statistical mechanics

Equilibrium grand potential

$$\Omega(N, T, \mu) = -k_B T \log \operatorname{Tr} \left[\exp \left\{ -\beta \left(\widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_I - \mu \widehat{N} \right) \right\} \right]$$

- Unperturbed Hamiltonian H₀
- Perturbation due to interaction H₁
- Quantum character:

$[\widehat{H}_0,\widehat{H}_I]\neq 0$

- Second quantization creation & annihilation operators
- Quantum fluctuations Matsubara frequencies
- Thermal ξ quantum fluctuations mixed (non-zero temperatures)
- Zero temperature: only quantum fluctuations

Grand potential – does not contain full information Dynamics introduced via Green functions



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Quantum statistical mechanics

Equilibrium grand potential

$$\Omega(N, T, \mu) = -k_B T \log \operatorname{Tr} \left[\exp \left\{ -\beta \left(\widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_I - \mu \widehat{N} \right) \right\} \right]$$

- Unperturbed Hamiltonian H₀
- Perturbation due to interaction H₁
- Quantum character:

$[\widehat{H}_0,\widehat{H}_I]\neq 0$

- Second quantization creation ξ annihilation operators
- Quantum fluctuations Matsubara frequencies
- Thermal ξ quantum fluctuations mixed (non-zero temperatures)
- Zero temperature: only quantum fluctuations

Grand potential – does not contain full information Dynamics introduced via Green functions



・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ 日 ・







prive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Quantum phases





- Quantm phase without quantum critical point
- Condensate of (bound) Cooper electron pairs pure quantum effect
- Ordered phase does not conserve charge (nontrivial equilibrium)



Correlated electrons & quantum perturbations

Tight-binding description: Conduction electrons in a periodic lattice

Screened Coulomb



Generic Hamiltonian with external perturbation

$$\widehat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + U \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\uparrow} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\downarrow} + \widehat{H}_{ext}$$

Normal & anomalous (non-classical, non-conserving) perturbations



Václav Janíš NCSU, September, 2017

 $\hat{H}_{ext} = \int d1d2 \left\{ \sum \eta_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2)c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(1)c_{\sigma}(2) \dots conserves charge g spin$

Correlated electrons & quantum perturbations

Generic Hamiltonian with external perturbation

$$\widehat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + U \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\uparrow} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\downarrow} + \widehat{H}_{ext}$$

Normal & anomalous (non-classical, non-conserving) perturbations

$$\begin{split} \widehat{H}_{\text{ext}} &= \int d\mathbf{1} d\mathbf{2} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\sigma}(2) \quad \dots \text{ conserves charge § spin} \right. \\ &+ \left[\bar{\xi}^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\uparrow}(1) c_{\downarrow}(2) + \xi^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(2) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(1) \right] \quad \dots \text{ conserves spin} \\ &+ \left[\eta^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\downarrow}(2) + \bar{\eta}^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(2) c_{\uparrow}(1) \right] \quad \dots \text{ conserves charge} \\ &\sum \left[\bar{\xi}_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}(1) c_{\sigma}(2) + \xi_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(2) \right] \quad \dots \text{ changes charge § spin} \right]$$

+

< □ > < @ > <

Correlated electrons & quantum perturbations

Generic Hamiltonian with external perturbation

$$\widehat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + U \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\uparrow} \widehat{n}_{\mathbf{i}\downarrow} + \widehat{H}_{ext}$$

Normal & anomalous (non-classical, non-conserving) perturbations

$$\begin{split} \widehat{H}_{ext} &= \int d\mathbf{1} d\mathbf{2} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\sigma}(2) \quad \dots \text{ conserves charge § spin} \right. \\ &+ \left[\bar{\xi}^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\uparrow}(1) c_{\downarrow}(2) + \xi^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(2) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(1) \right] \quad \dots \text{ conserves spin} \\ &+ \left[\eta^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\downarrow}(2) + \bar{\eta}^{\perp}(1,2) c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(2) c_{\uparrow}(1) \right] \quad \dots \text{ conserves charge} \\ \left. \left[\bar{\xi}_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}(1) c_{\sigma}(2) + \xi_{\sigma}^{||}(1,2) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(1) c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(2) \right] \quad \dots \text{ changes charge § spin} \right] \end{split}$$

1P Green function ξ self-energy – order parameter

Unperturbed Green function (no Coulomb repulsion)

$$G^{(0)}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = rac{1}{i\omega_n + \mu + \sigma h - \epsilon(\mathbf{k})}$$

- **F**ermionic Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi k_B T$
- **Effect** of electron correlations self-energy $\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$
- Dyson equation full Green function (dynamical oder)

 $G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = G_{\sigma}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \left[1 + \Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)\right]$



Response & vertex functions

Response function (susceptibility) – singular at the critical point

$$\chi(q) = -\frac{2}{(\beta N)^2} \sum_{k,k'} G(k) G(k+q) \left[\delta(k-k') + \Gamma(k,k';q) G(k') G(k'+q) \right]$$

from the full 2P vertex $\Gamma_{\sigma\sigma'}(k, k'; q)$

Thermodynamic energy-momentum notation $k = (\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n), q = (\mathbf{q}, i\nu_m), \nu_m = 2\pi m k_B T$

Charge and spin conservation in vertices:

normal Γ and transposed Γ^t



and a second

(口) (同) (三) (三)

Representing the full two-particle vetex



Electron-hole multiple singlet scatterings





Consistency - 1P propagators related to 2P vertices

1P propagators in equilibrium are related to the 2P vertex

Schwinger-Dyson equation: Microscopic quantum dynamics



Integral Ward identity: Macroscopic mass conservation

How to introduce the Ward identity into perturbation theory?



Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoF

Consistency – 1P propagators related to 2P vertices

1P propagators in equilibrium are related to the 2P vertex

Schwinger-Dyson equation: Microscopic quantum dynamics



Integral Ward identity: Macroscopic mass conservation



How to introduce the Ward identity into perturbation theory



Consistency – 1P propagators related to 2P vertices

1P propagators in equilibrium are related to the 2P vertex

Schwinger-Dyson equation: Microscopic quantum dynamics



Integral Ward identity: Macroscopic mass conservation



How to introduce the Ward identity into perturbation theory?



Conservation of charge source in correlated electrons

Generating Luttinger-Ward functional Φ[U, G]:

$$\frac{1}{N}\Omega[G,\Sigma,n] = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{\sigma n,\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} \left\{ \ln\left[i\omega_n + \mu_\sigma - \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) - Un_{-\sigma} - \Sigma_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)\right] + G_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)\Sigma_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n) \right\} - Un_\uparrow n_\downarrow + \Phi[U,G]$$

Stationarity equations

CON

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_{n})} = \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_{n})} = 0 = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial n_{\sigma}}$$
sistency - dynamical charge conservation $(\delta U = \delta [e^{2}/r])$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\delta\Phi[U,G]}{\delta U(\mathbf{q},i\nu_{m})}}_{\text{Schwinger-Dyson}} = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} \frac{\delta G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},i\omega_{n}+i\nu_{m})}{\delta\mu_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_{n})}}_{\text{Ward}}$$

ward identity and Schwinger-Dyson equation cannot be obeyed simultaneously



)

Conservation of charge source in correlated electrons

Generating Luttinger-Ward functional Φ[U, G]:

$$\frac{1}{N}\Omega[G,\Sigma,n] = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{\sigma n,\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} \left\{ \ln\left[i\omega_n + \mu_\sigma - \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) - Un_{-\sigma} - \Sigma_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)\right] + G_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)\Sigma_\sigma(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n) \right\} - Un_\uparrow n_\downarrow + \Phi[U,G]$$

Stationarity equations

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_{n})} = \frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_{n})} = 0 = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial n_{\sigma}}$$

Consistency - dynamical charge conservation ($\delta U = \delta [e^2/r]$)

$$\underbrace{\frac{\delta \Phi[U,G]}{\delta U(\mathbf{q},i\nu_m)}}_{\text{Schwinger-Dyson}} = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} \frac{\delta G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},i\omega_n+i\nu_m)}{\delta \mu_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k},i\omega_n)}}_{\text{Ward}}$$

Ward identity and Schwinger-Dyson equation cannot be obeyed simultaneously



Linearized Ward identity

- WI resolved only linearly w.r.t. the symmetry-breaking field
- Irreducible vertex depends on even powers of the perturbing field
- \blacksquare Crítical point in the spin-symmetric state ($G_{\uparrow}=G_{\downarrow})$
- WI linearized in the external magnetic field



Mathematical expression (normal state)

$$\Sigma_{\uparrow}^{T}(k) = \frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{q} \Lambda(k, k; q) G_{\downarrow}(k+q)$$

 $\Lambda(k,k';q) = (\Lambda_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k,k';q) + \Lambda_{\downarrow\uparrow}(k,k';q))/2$



(口) (同) (三)

Thermodynamically consistent approach

Generating functional: Two-particle vertex $\Lambda[G^T]$ replacing Luttiner-Ward functional $\Phi[G]$

- Linearized WI determines the thermodynamic self-energy Σ^T from Λ [G^T]
- 1P propagators G^T renormalized with Σ^T via Dyson eq.
- Symmetry of the self-energy Σ^T broken at the divergence in Bethe-Salpeter equation with $\Lambda[G^T]$

Both self-energies $\Sigma^{ op}$ and Σ share the same critical behavior



ve/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.

Thermodynamically consistent approach

Generating functional: Two-particle vertex $\Lambda[G^T]$ replacing Luttiner-Ward functional $\Phi[G]$

- Linearized WI determines the thermodynamic self-energy Σ^T from $\Lambda [G^T]$
- **IP** propagators G^T renormalized with Σ^T via Dyson eq.
- Symmetry of the self-energy Σ^T broken at the divergence in Bethe-Salpeter equation with $\Lambda[G^T]$

Physical (spectral) self-energy from Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\Sigma_{\uparrow}(k) = \frac{U}{\beta N} \sum_{k'} G_{\downarrow}^{\mathsf{T}}(k') \left[1 - \frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{k''} G_{\downarrow}^{\mathsf{T}}(k'') G_{\uparrow}^{\mathsf{T}}(k+k'-k'') \right] \times \Gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{\mathsf{T}}(k'',k;k'-k'')$$

「同ト イヨト イヨト

Thermodynamically consistent approach

Generating functional: Two-particle vertex $\Lambda[G^T]$ replacing Luttiner-Ward functional $\Phi[G]$

- Linearized WI determines the thermodynamic self-energy Σ^T from $\Lambda[G^T]$
- **IP** propagators G^T renormalized with Σ^T via Dyson eq.
- Symmetry of the self-energy Σ^T broken at the divergence in Bethe-Salpeter equation with $\Lambda[G^T]$

Both self-energies $\Sigma^{\mathcal{T}}$ and Σ share the same critical behavior



ve/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ,U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Standard vs. new approach

Baym-Kadanoff approach: Luttinger-Ward functional Φ



single self-energy two vertex functions different criticaliities Consistent criticality approach: irreducible 2P vertex Λ



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

single two-particle vertex two self-energies (with the same criticality) no thermodynamic potential



Atom attached to metallic leads

$$\widehat{H}_{SIAM} = E_d \sum_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + U \widehat{n}_{\uparrow}^{d} \widehat{n}_{\downarrow}^{d} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left(V_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + H.C. \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

Conduction electrons can be integrated out

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\psi^* \exp\left\{\sum_n \psi_n^* \left[G_0(i\omega_n)
ight]^{-1} \psi_n - U \int_0^eta d au \ \widehat{n}^d_{\uparrow}(au) \widehat{n}^d_{\downarrow}(au)
ight\}$$

Quantum criticality: Kondo effect - exponentially small scale $U o\infty$

- Quasiparticle peak in the spectral function at FE (dynamics, w_K = wexp{-π²Uρ₀/8}
- Saturation of the magnetic susceptibility (thermodynamics) at $T_{\kappa} = \sqrt{U/\pi\rho_0} \exp\{-\pi^2 U\rho_0/8\}$



イロト イヨト イヨト

Atom attached to metallic leads

$$\widehat{H}_{SIAM} = E_d \sum_{\sigma} d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma} + U \widehat{n}^{d}_{\uparrow} \widehat{n}^{d}_{\downarrow} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left(V_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} d_{\sigma} + H.C. \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

Conduction electrons can be integrated out

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\psi^* \exp\left\{\sum_n \psi_n^* \left[\mathcal{G}_0(i\omega_n)
ight]^{-1} \psi_n - U \int_0^eta \, d au \, \widehat{n}^d_{\uparrow}(au) \widehat{n}^d_{\downarrow}(au)
ight\} = 0$$

A Maini of A

Quantum criticality: Kondo effect – exponentially small scale $U \rightarrow U$

Atom attached to metallic leads

$$\widehat{H}_{SIAM} = E_d \sum_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + U \widehat{n}_{\uparrow}^{d} \widehat{n}_{\downarrow}^{d} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left(V_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + H.C. \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

Conduction electrons can be integrated out

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\psi^* \exp\left\{\sum_n \psi_n^* \left[G_0(i\omega_n)\right]^{-1} \psi_n - U \int_0^\beta d\tau \ \widehat{n}^d_{\uparrow}(\tau) \widehat{n}^d_{\downarrow}(\tau)\right\}$$

Quantum críticality: Kondo effect - exponentially small scale $U o \infty$

- Quasiparticle peak in the spectral function at FE (dynamics) $w_{K} = w \exp\{-\pi^{2} U \rho_{0}/8\}$
- Saturation of the magnetic susceptibility (thermodynamics) at $T_K = \sqrt{U/\pi\rho_0} \exp\{-\pi^2 U\rho_0/8\}$



ve/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.

イロト イヨト イヨト

Atom attached to metallic leads

$$\widehat{H}_{SIAM} = E_d \sum_{\sigma} d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma} + U \widehat{n}^{d}_{\uparrow} \widehat{n}^{d}_{\downarrow} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left(V_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} d_{\sigma} + H.C. \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

Conduction electrons can be integrated out

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\psi^* \exp\left\{\sum_n \psi_n^* \left[G_0(i\omega_n)\right]^{-1} \psi_n - U \int_0^\beta d\tau \ \widehat{n}^d_{\uparrow}(\tau) \widehat{n}^d_{\downarrow}(\tau)\right\}$$

Quantum criticality: Kondo effect - exponentially small scale $U
ightarrow \infty$

- Quasiparticle peak in the spectral function at FE (dynamics) $w_{K} = w \exp\{-\pi^2 U \rho_0/8\}$
- Saturation of the magnetic susceptibility (thermodynamics) at $T_{K} = \sqrt{U/\pi\rho_0} \exp\{-\pi^2 U\rho_0/8\}$



Effective interaction approximation 1

- Two-particle self-consistency from reduced parquet equations
 - static approximation on Λ
 - only diverging fluctuations dynamical

$$\Lambda^{eh}(i\omega_n, i\omega_{n'}; i\nu_m) \to \Lambda$$

 $\Gamma(i\omega_n, i\omega_{n'}; i\nu_m) \to \Gamma(i\nu_m)$

Effective interaction – generalization of HFA to strong coupling

$$\Lambda_{\sigma} = \frac{U}{1 + \Psi_{\sigma}[\Lambda]}$$
with
$$\Psi_{\sigma}[\Lambda] = -\frac{\Lambda^2}{\beta} \sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{\sigma}(-i\omega_n)G_{\sigma}(i\omega_n)G_{-\sigma}(-i\omega_n)}{1 + \Lambda\phi_{\sigma}(-i\omega_n)}$$

where ($\Lambda = (\Lambda_{\uparrow} + \Lambda_{\downarrow})/2$) and

$$\phi_{\sigma}(i\nu_m) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} G_{\sigma}(i\omega_n) G_{-\sigma}(i\omega_n + i\nu_m)$$



Effective interaction approximation II

Thermodynamic 1P Green function (auxiliary)

$$G_{\sigma}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon)}{\omega + i0^{+} + \bar{\mu}_{\sigma} - \epsilon}$$

effective chemical potential $ar{\mu}_{\sigma}=\mu+\sigma h-rac{U-\Lambda}{2}-\Lambda n_{\sigma}^{T}$

Spectral (dynamical) self-energy (Schwinger-Dyson eq.)

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\sigma}^{sp}(\omega) &= \frac{U\Lambda}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \left\{ G_{-\sigma}(x+\omega) \Im \left[\frac{\phi_{\sigma}(x)}{1+\Lambda \phi_{\sigma}(x)} \right] \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\phi_{\sigma}^{*}(x-\omega)}{1+\Lambda \phi_{\sigma}^{*}(x-\omega)} \Im G_{-\sigma}(x) \right\} \end{split}$$

1P Green function (physical)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \frac{\rho(\epsilon)}{\omega + i0^{+} + \mu + \sigma h - \epsilon - \frac{U}{2} (n - \sigma m^{T}) - \Sigma_{\sigma}^{sp}(k_{n})}$$

Э

Spectral function of SIAM





э

< 一型

Comparison with exact numetics





rive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Exponentíal Kondo scales





Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.I

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Kondo scales compared with numerical simulations





ive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZUpdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZV

Magnetic susceptibilities





ive/becuments/Figures/LogoFZ.it.pdf brive/becuments/Figures/LogoF. (ロ)(同)(同)(日)(日))(日)

Thermodynamic potential vs linearized WII

1P approach: full 1P self-consistency

- Generating functional $\Phi[U, G]$
- Self-energy from stationarity equation:

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \frac{\delta \Phi[U, G]}{\delta G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)}$$

with SC condition $G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = [i\omega + \mu - \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)]^{-1}$

- Two vertex functions: SDE (microscopic) & WI (macroscopic)
- Two-particle vertex in § SDE without thermodynamic meaning
- Scheme breaks down beyond the critical points:
 - No way to circumvent singularities in vertices
 - Long-range order does not match singularity at vertex function



Thermodynamic potential vs linearized WI II

2P approach: Linearized Ward identity

- Vertex generating the approximation: $\Lambda_{\uparrow\downarrow}$
- Thermodynamic self-energy $\sum_{\sigma}^{T}(k) = \frac{1}{\beta N} \sum_{q} \Lambda(k, k; q) G_{-\sigma}(k+q)$ - auxiliary to be used 1P propagators determining 2P functions
- Physical self-energy Σ from Schwinger-Dyson equation
 full dynamical structure, determines spectral properties
- LRO matches the poles in the vertex functions
 - Qualitatively correct description of quantum criticality
- Thermodynamic consistency critical behavior qualitatively the same from spectral § thermodynamic functions



ve/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.U.pdf Drive/Documents/Figures/LogoFZ.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 三日